



College Outcome Committee Agenda
Tuesday, December 2, 2013
Student Services Building Large Conference Room

Members present: Beth Benne, Monique Cleveland, Jeff Favre, Loralyn Frederick, Clay Gediman, Sheryl Nomelli, Mia Wood

Guests: Vir, Margarita Pillado

- 1 The agenda was approved.
- 2 The minutes from 11-04-13 were approved.
- 3 SLO Addenda and ECD
 - The Curriculum Chair (Margarita Pillado) reviewed what ECD is and how it works, and then proceeded to discuss the SLO addendum-ECD connection.
 - The Curriculum Chair presented ideas for how the Curriculum and Outcomes Committees can coordinate the process of ensuring that SLOs are good and up-to-date. There is no point in the current process where the SLO coordinator (or members of the committee) conduct a quality control check.
 - The Curriculum Chair also provided an edit of the revised SLO addendum form for the committee to consider.
 - The committee members discussed the following question:
 - Should there be an active role for the Outcomes Coordinator in the curriculum process? One benefit would be for the Outcomes Committee to have more control over the process.
 - The Outcomes Co-Coordinators (Monique Cleveland and Mia Wood) informed the group that the SLO addendum form for ECD has been updated, and has replaced the existing form. The old form continues to appear, probably because people have it on their computer.
 - There was discussion about the extent to which the Outcomes Committee could conduct an SLO QC. Committee members could check to make sure the correct form has been uploaded. Moreover, the college has approved a task-based definition of authentic assessment, and the group could provide coaching and feedback on whether or not a given SLO lends itself to the definition.
 - The Curriculum Chair proposed that the Outcomes Coordinator, or a designee, could gain approval status as part of Tech Review. Margarita told the committee that it would be easy enough to add that individual as part of the Tech Review stop.
 - The Curriculum Chair proposed that the coordinator be added to the tech stop approval for Spring 2014 to see how it works. The group will determine whether or not they want to do this.
 - The current SLO addendum was reviewed and further revised, adding a GELO mapping box. It should be proposed as an action item at the first Spring 2014 Senate meeting.
 - Meanwhile, the Outcomes Co-Coordinators will revise the addendum, and send it to the committee for review.
- 4 SAO Assessment and Data Interpretation

- The group discussed enlisting the help of CSUN grad student and Pierce intern, Nick Ross. Beth Benne (Student Services Rep.) suggested that Nick be invited to the Student Services Division meeting.
 - Clay Gedimon (Area 1 Rep.) suggested that we first get a handle on day-to-day transactions — assess the existing data — in order to figure out how to revise SAOs.
 - Jeff proposed having one or more of us attend a Student Services meeting. Jeff Favre (Area 2 Rep.) proposed creating a special SAO doc and presenting it at a January (or February??) Student Services meeting. At the Feb. PAD for Service Areas, committee members can facilitate planning for assessing.
 - Clay agreed to attend the first meeting with Nick, Monique, and Mia about planning SAO data assessment and interpretation.
- 5 PAD Follow-Up
- Monique and Mia reported on the Fall 2013 PAD, which was well-attended and consisted largely of discussion about authentic assessment.
- 6 Spring PAD(s)
Feb. 3
- 8 Additional Items
- The Senate approved the two outcomes reports generated by the committee (authentic assessment and GELO). These reports can be found on the [College Outcomes Committee site](#).

COC Meeting Dates for Fall 2013 (All meetings are to be held in the CSB, from 2:30-3:30)

Tuesday, September 3
Tuesday, September 17*
Tuesday, October 1
Tuesday, October 15*
Tuesday, November 5
Tuesday, November 19*
Tuesday, December 2

*Supplementary meetings added for the Fall term; not to be duplicated in Spring 2014.